## REMARKS

1. Reconsideration and further prosecution of the above-identified application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and discussion that follows. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are pending in this application.

Claim 5 has been objected to. The specification has been objected to. The amendments filed on 8/28/03 and 9/22/04 have been objected to. Claims 1-2 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Claims 1-2 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,912,092 to Maruyama et al. Claims 3-10 have been indicated as allowable if rewritten independent form.

- 2. Claim 5 has been objected to. In response, the double occurrence of "translucent" has been deleted.
- 3. The specification has been objected to because of the reference to another application on page 5, lines 20-24. However, no further information is available regarding the application.
- 4. The amendments filed on 8/28/03 and 9/22/04 have been objected to and claims 1-2 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. In response the added clause of claim 1 has been deleted.
- 5. Claims 1-2 have been rejected as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,912,092 to Maruyama et al. However, claim 3 has now been incorporated into claim 1.

Claims 3-10 has been indicated to be allowable if

rewritten in independent form. The incorporation of claim 3 into claim 1 and the deletion of claim 3, therefore, now makes claims 1-2 and 4-10 allowable.

6. Allowance of claims 1-2 and 4-10, as now presented, is believed to be in order and such action is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner be of the opinion that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of the subject application, he is respectfully requested to telephone applicant's undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

WELSH & KATZ, LTD.

By

Jon P Ohristensen

Registration No. 34,137

November 29, 2004 WELSH & KATZ, LTD. 120 South Riverside Plaza 22nd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 655-1500